

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,067	01/25/2002	Charles R. Sperry	D-30259-01	3363	
75	90 07/18/2005		EXAM	INER	
Sealed Air Con P.O. Box 464	rporation (US)		SIMONE, CATHERINE A		
Duncan, SC 2	9334		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		
•		DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>			(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/057,067	SPERRY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Catherine Simone	1772	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2005. 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/057,067 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Repeated Rejections

1. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 1-10 and 33 over De Luca et al. in view of Jostler et al. is repeated for the reasons previously set forth in the Office Action mailed 12/14/04, Pages 2-4, Paragraph #4.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 4/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that "when the Jostler and De Luca references are read as whole, they are not properly combinable in the manner suggested by the Examiner, and thus do not establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness." In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, De Luca clearly teaches an inflatable web comprising two sheets (see col. 2, lines 47-51) having inner surfaces sealed to each other in a pattern defining a series of inflatable chambers (Fig. 2, #21) of predetermined length, each of the chambers (Fig. 2, #21) having at least one change in width over their length; an inflation port (Fig. 2, #41) located at a proximal end of each chamber, the inflation ports being formed by

Art Unit: 1772

intermittent seals (Fig. 2, #43) between the sheets; and longitudinal flanges formed by a portion of each of the sheets that extend beyond the inflation ports and intermittent seals. Jostler was merely cited for suggesting that it is old and well-known in the art to have longitudinal flanges having a pair of open, unsealed edges (Fig. 1a, #24a,b; also see col. 2, lines 39-45) and that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the longitudinal flanges in De Luca to have a pair of open, unsealed edges as suggested by Jostler in order to fill the pockets (chambers) with some material. One skilled in the art would clearly be able to modify the longitudinal flanges in De Luca to have a pair of open, unsealed edges in order to inflate the chambers, if so desired. Thus, the claims fail to patentably define over the prior art as applied above.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (571)272-1501.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine A. Simone Examiner

Art Unit 1772

July 12, 2005